

KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)  
 United States Attorney  
 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722)  
 Chief, Criminal Division  
 CANDACE KELLY (CSBN 191473)  
 Assistant United States Attorney  
 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
 San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
 Telephone: (415) 436-6962  
 FAX: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

HARUT KORAKOSSIAN,  
 a/k/a Harry Korakossian,  
 a/k/a Harut Korakissian,  
 a/k/a Harry Korakissian,  
 a/k/a Harutyun Kirakossian,  
 WILSON FUNG,  
 EDWARD RIDGELL, and  
 DAISY TORRES CRUZ,

Defendants.

No. CR 06-0090-MHP

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS  
 HEARING AND EXCLUDE TIME  
 UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT  
 FROM APRIL 17, 2006 TO MAY 15, 2006

STIPULATION

1. On April 17, 2006, Harut Korakossian appeared before the Court for his first appearance in district court in the Northern District of California before the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel. The parties requested a continuance to May 15, 2006, in order to allow (1) the government to

ORDER  
 CR 06-0090-MHP

1 produce discovery to the defendants (upon receipt of a Rule 16 discovery request); and (2) to  
2 allow defense counsel adequate time to review discovery and prepare for trial.

3 2. Co-defendant's Ridgell and Fung had a previously scheduled status hearing set for April  
4 24, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. before the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel. Counsel for Ridgell, Assistant  
5 Federal Public Defender Geoff Hansen appeared and agreed to continue that status hearing to  
6 May 15, 2006 and to continue to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act to allow defense  
7 counsel adequate time to prepare for trial.

8 3. Ellen Barry, counsel for Fung, was not present for the hearing on April 17, 2006, but was  
9 informed of the proposed continuation of the case and the exclusion of time under the Speedy  
10 Trial Act immediately after the hearing. Ms. Barry agreed to this continuance and exclusion of  
11 time on behalf of her client.

12 4. The Court excused all three of the defendants from appearing on May 15, 2006.

13 5. Both counsel and defendants stipulate and agree that time should be excluded from April  
14 17, 2006 through and including May 15, 2006 from the Speedy Trial Act under 18 U.S.C. §§  
15 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv) in order to allow a reasonable amount of time for the defense to  
16 effectively prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

17 SO STIPULATED.

18 DATED: 5/8/06

19 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
GEOFF HANSEN  
Attorney for Defendant A. RIDGELL

20  
21 DATED: 4/24/06

22 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
ELLEN BARRY  
Attorney for Defendant FUNG

23 DATED: 4/21/06

24 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
ARTHUR K. WACHTEL  
Attorney for Defendant KORAKOSSIAN

25  
26 DATED: 5/9/06

27 \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
CANDACE KELLY  
Assistant United States Attorney

28  
ORDER  
CR 06-0090-MHP

## ORDER

The Court finds that there is good cause for the extension of time described above, and that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and of the defendants in a speedy trial and the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The Court further finds that failure to grant the continuance would deny the defendants and their counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and continuity of counsel under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendants, the Court continues the matter to May 15, 2006, for a status hearing before the Honorable Judge Patel at 10:00. The Court further orders that the period from April 17, 2006 through and including May 15, 2006 be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).  
IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 11, 2006

